

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_128242
Title of the Manuscript:	Perception of Chickpea Growers towards Climate Change in Bemetara District of Chhattisgarh
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Climate change being the global and vaunted ongoing phenomenon, it is instrumental to research by crops and regions to assess the impacts and localize the adaptation/mitigation measures. In this prospect, this study in chick pea carries a lot of significance.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is fine which states the perception at a particular area of district in India but needs to specify the positive or negative consequences at the abstract, the title of the bar/diagrams at discussion level and at conclusion too.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I suggest some addition of the prospects from the global perspective then coming to the regional, country and narrowing down to the local. The transformation in the first sentence of the abstract generally connotes the positive message while this does not hold true in this case, so I would suggest rephrasing it. The abstract saying the medium perception has very little to say with what exactly it wants to offer to the scientific community. I suggest that it specifies either positive or negative perception with inferences.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Very local research with few (120) respondents i.e. chickpea growers has a chance to mislead it to the country context. Since the title states the particular area of the district under research, I would accept it.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References seem ok but needs more rigour referencing with further articulation. Having 16 references in this peer reviewed paper is not good enough.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English level is fine but has some grammatical errors that should be rectified.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment</u> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Krishna Prasad Sapkota
Department, University & Country	University of California Davis, United States