

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_128242
Title of the Manuscript:	Perception of Chickpea Growers towards Climate Change in Bemetara District of Chhattisgarh
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Review Form 3

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>I have carefully reviewed the manuscript discussing the perceptions of chickpea growers towards climate change in the Bemetara district of Chhattisgarh. After thorough analysis, I believe there are some key areas where enhancements can be made to strengthen the quality and impact of the research findings.</p> <p>Firstly, I recommend focusing on improving the data presentation in the manuscript. Ensuring that the table (referred to as "Table 2" in the analysis) is well-organized with clear headings and labels will enhance the reader's understanding of the survey results. Additionally, the figure, which appears to be a bar chart representing respondents' perceptions, should be made more interpretable with a clear title and appropriate labels for the bars.</p> <p>Furthermore, expanding the discussion section to provide more context for the survey results and exploring the implications of the findings would add depth to the manuscript. Addressing any limitations of the study, such as sample size or respondent bias, and discussing their potential impact on the generalizability of the findings is also essential.</p> <p>I also suggest including policy recommendations that could help mitigate the negative effects of climate change on chickpea farming. By discussing adaptive strategies and resilience-building measures, the manuscript can provide valuable insights for researchers and stakeholders in the agricultural sector.</p> <p>I believe implementing these improvements will significantly enhance the manuscript's quality and provide valuable insights into the challenges faced by chickpea growers in the context of climate change.</p>	

PART 2:

	<p><u>Reviewer's comment</u></p>	<p><u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u></p>
<p><u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u></p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Ammara Gill</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Henan Polytechnic University, China</p>