

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_127957
Title of the Manuscript:	Benefits of Paddy Straw Management Technologies Used by Potato Growers in Jalandhar District of Punjab
Type of the Article	Research

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study provides a significant impact in the scientific community since it delivers valuable information which contributes to attain agricultural sustainability. It also highlights the benefits of incorporating paddy straw waste utilization and potato cultivation, likewise this practice addresses the negative environmental effect of paddy straw burning and improves the soil health and increases potato crop productivity. This research can be a stepping stone and serve as a guide for farmers, agricultural scientists, and policy makers to adopt and promote an ecological friendly practice for the attainment of sustainable agriculture.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable, however the reviewer suggests adding the country on where the study was conducted, for better visualization of the research. Ex. "Benefits of Paddy Straw Management Technologies Used by Potato Growers in Jalandhar District of Punjab, India"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive. The reviewer suggests that the word "per cent" be change to "%" for uniformity. And add the level of significance used (0.1, 0.01, 0.05, 0.005). Follow the 250-300 words abstract format.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Provide more references in introduction, and likewise look for recent (5 years) related references. Do not use "Anonymous" as reference, instead cite an author in a reputable article or journal that could be cited as a source of information.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English quality is generally acceptable, but the reviewer suggests that the manuscript should be subjected to proof reading for further refinement.	
Optional/General comments	For results and discussion, compare the results of this study to the previously conducted research. Is the result similar with the other studies or not? If there is/are similarity/ies, state the result that matches. While if there is/are difference/s, what are the possible reason/s that could be attributed to the difference.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Joany Alyssa B. Abogado
Department, University & Country	Central Bicol State University of Agriculture, Philippines