

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_124405
Title of the Manuscript:	Projected Changes in Potential Evapotranspiration for India and Karnataka: A Jensen-Haise Method Analysis
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, mostly for the water resources and agriculture community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Well written abstract, cover all the elements.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, the pattern of the report is common in the Soil Water Balance Equation (SWBE) procedure.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No enough references, with the latest cited paper was on 2018. It is strongly to include the latest reference mostly to support the statements in third paragraph of the Introduction section in page 2.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	It is also suggested for next write-up to compare this method with two common daily PET calculation; using Penman's formulation or Penman-Monteith formulation.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Khairi Khalid
Department, University & Country	College of Engineering, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia