

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Biochemistry Research &amp; Review</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_127535
Title of the Manuscript:	NUTRITIONAL AND ANTINUTRITIONAL EVALUATION OF THE PULP AND SEED OF Terminalia catappa (TROPICAL ALMOND) FRUIT AND PHYSICOCHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF ITS SEED OIL
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	A well - written manuscript. Overall, the manuscript is well-written and easy to read. The importance of this manuscript is very significant to the scientific community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the articles is suitable. However, the Author (s) is/are encouraged the write the authority "L" after the botanical name of the plant species.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The content is rich. The recent issues as related to the manuscript are articulated.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Updates your references from 2016 to 2024. Any reference not cited in the main text should be deleted.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The grammar is good, please go through page by page to improve the quality of the manuscript.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	A very good research work.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mark Eka Ali</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Nigeria</b>