

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Geographical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJGR_126783
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Water Quality of Ogbujilekwe Stream in Njikoka L.G.A of Anambra State.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Every manuscript addressing surface water quality holds significant value. However, it is crucial to ensure that the paper is structured at an appropriately professional level.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is fitting and well-suited.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is poorly written, containing numerous typographical errors and technical inaccuracies in terminology. The term "nutrients" primarily refers to nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) compounds; however, this definition is not clearly stated. Furthermore, the concept of "oxygen demand" (OD) is not adequately explained, and there is no indication in the results regarding its meaning, potentially leading to confusion with "dissolved oxygen" (DO). The abstract requires a thorough revision to clearly convey the location of the study, the main objectives, and the key findings.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript lacks a solid scientific foundation, offering only general statements in the introduction. This section, intended to provide practical context, includes an adequate number of cited articles—only five references, except one of which are over ten years old. This lack of up-to-date sourcing diminishes the manuscript's credibility and relevance.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No. The author will need to conduct their own research to find relevant sources based on their specific topic.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The quality of English in the manuscript is lacking, with numerous grammatical errors present throughout. For example, the abstract is missing full stops at the end of several sentences. Additionally, the use of abbreviations does not consistently adhere to international nomenclature; T.D.S. and B.O.D. should simply be written as TDS and BOD, respectively. It is also important to clarify that 'pollution' encompasses both inorganic and organic pollutants, whereas 'nutrients' specifically refers to nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) compounds, as well as certain trace elements. Furthermore, the tables are incorrectly numbered; for instance, there are inconsistencies in the numbering of tables such as 4.21, 4.30, and 4.31. These issues need to be addressed for clarity and adherence to proper standards.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Milenko Ros
Department, University & Country	Slovenia