

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Geographical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJGR_126783
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Water Quality of Ogbujilekwe Stream in Njikoka L.G.A of Anambra State.
Type of the Article	

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

**Review Form 3**

<p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Peer reviewer Comments for Evaluation of Water Quality of Ogbujilekwe Stream in Njikoka L.G.A of Anambra State.</p> <p>The paper could be improved based on the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. More in text citation is required throughout the document- A paper which is so highly science based as this one should contain more references.</li> <li>2. The writer needs to restate the aim of the research in the conclusion of the document.</li> <li>3. The researcher needs to keep the language consistent and ensure that past tense are used in describing the materials and methods.</li> <li>4. Fecal coliform were found in the water samples and even though they are not harmful in most instances the fact that the researcher is recommending the Ministry of Health to take action, it could have been highlighted that where fecal coliforms exist pathogenic organisms are normally present.</li> <li>5. The findings of the paper is insufficient as the research work evaluated the water quality parameters such as water color, odor, taste, pH, Oxygen Demand (OD), Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD), total dissolved solid, (T.D.S.), turbidity and microbial test however in the materials and methods most of these parameters were not mentioned or evaluated.</li> </ol>	

**PART 2:**

	<p><b>Reviewer's comment</b></p>	<p><b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p><b>Karlene Atkinson</b></p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p><b>Texila American University, Guyana</b></p>