

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_128136
Title of the Manuscript:	Nutritional Quality Evaluation of Cookies produced from orange-flesh sweet potato flour, Starch, and residue flour
Type of the Article	Original research article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript on the: Nutritional Quality Evaluation of Cookies produced from orange-flesh sweet potato flour, Starch, and residue flour. This manuscript is highly significant for the scientific community as it addresses the growing demand for functional and nutritious food products by evaluating the nutritional quality of cookies made from orange-flesh sweet potato flour, starch, and residue flour. It contributes to the broader understanding of utilizing biofortified crops, like orange-flesh sweet potato, to enhance the dietary intake of essential nutrients such as beta-carotene, dietary fiber, and complex carbohydrates. Additionally, the study provides valuable insights into the potential use of agricultural by-products (residue flour) in food formulations, promoting sustainability and waste reduction. This research is instrumental in advancing food science and technology, particularly in the development of healthier, nutrient-dense snacks for diverse populations.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	"Nutritional Quality Evaluation of Cookies produced from orange-flesh sweet potato flour, Starch, and residue flour" Suggested title: Evaluation of nutritional quality of cookies developed from orange-flesh sweet potato flour, Starch, and residue flour	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The Abstract may be reviewed. Moreover, a short background of the study may be given before the abstract (why you have taken the particular topic and any research gap) of the study.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript titled "Nutritional Quality Evaluation of Cookies Produced from Orange-Flesh Sweet Potato Flour, Starch, and Residue Flour" appears to be scientifically correct in terms of its methodology, data analysis, and conclusion. However, a thorough review points out a few points that could improve clarity, ensure better alignment with scientific writing. To avoid the figures from moving, write them in a table not in a text box. Limitations should be provided.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References must be listed at the end of the manuscript and numbered in the order that they appear in the text. Every reference referred in the text must also present in the reference list and vice versa. EX : According to Adeleke and Odedeji [2], According to Nteranya and Adiel [4] and Noorfarahzilah <i>et al.</i> [7].	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	May be improvised versions will be suitable	
Optional/General comments	No comment	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	V. Sreeja
Department, University & Country	India