

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_128136
Title of the Manuscript:	Nutritional Quality Evaluation of Cookies produced from orange-flesh sweet potato flour, Starch, and residue flour
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The manuscript provides valuable insights into the nutritional quality of cookies made from orange-fleshed sweet potato flour, starch, and non-starch residue, offering a potential strategy for enhancing the nutritional profile of baked products.</li> <li>By incorporating orange-fleshed sweet potato, a rich source of vitamins and minerals, the study highlights the possibility of improving the amino acid composition and protein quality of cookies, which could have significant implications for addressing malnutrition, particularly in regions where wheat-based diets dominate.</li> <li>Additionally, the research evaluates the sensory and in-vivo protein quality of the cookies, contributing to the development of more nutritious and acceptable food products.</li> </ul>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<p>The title of the article is quite descriptive but could be more concise and specific. It currently focuses on the ingredients (orange-fleshed sweet potato flour, starch, and residue) without fully capturing the essence of the study's findings or scope.</p> <p>"Nutritional Quality Evaluation of Cookies Enriched with Orange-Fleshed Sweet Potato Flour, Starch, and Residue"</p>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The abstract provides an inclusive of important content of results and conclusion. However, introduction, research gap, objective and methodology need to be added.</li> <li>Arrange the keywords alphabetically</li> </ul>	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Sufficient	

**Review Form 3**

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Needs to be improve</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p><b>Introduction</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It is poor to define the research gap with reference to previous similar studies. It will be evidence whether the topic is researchable or not. In short, the research ability of the topic or research gap has to be clearly indicated by adding the recent literature.</li> <li>Add the importance and nutritional benefits of sweet potato and its influence on health.</li> </ul> <p><b>Results and Discussion</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Add the table and figure numbers in the texts and also add the result values, like high and low, it will be easy to understand.</li> <li>Add the supporting studies for better understanding and Discussion needs to be written and try to compare the present results with suitable papers or similar studies.</li> </ul> <p><b>Conclusion</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Grammatical errors and sentences need to be improved.</li> </ul> <p><b>English</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Many sentences were inappropriate and quality and grammatical mistakes need to be attended seriously.</li> </ul> <p><b>References</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No need to mention both names and reference number at a time in Intext citations</li> <li>Follow the journal reference style strictly.</li> </ul> <p>The manuscript is well-researched and relevant to the fields of food science, and nutrition. It provides valuable insights into improving the nutritional content of cookies by using orange-fleshed sweet potato flour, starch, and residue. This research is particularly important for enhancing food security and addressing nutritional deficiencies.</p> <p>However, all highlighted sections need to be properly referenced. Once these references are added and any other corrections are made, the manuscript should be accepted for publication. It has the potential to contribute significantly to the development of healthier, more nutritious food products.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<p><b>Reviewer's comment</b></p>	<p><b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ashoka S</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>REVA University, India</b>