

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_127594
Title of the Manuscript:	PARTICIPATION OF BIODIVERSITY MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE (BMC) MEMBERS IN BIODIVERSITY CONSERVATION TRAINING PROGRAMS IN KERALA
Type of the Article	Research

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript has been written in well manner but it needs few more citations. It is free from typological and grammatical errors. Introduction portion should be more attractive regarding addressing of importance of biodiversity and why BMC was constructed.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	OK	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Needs more references,	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	1. In the first line of abstract, 'BMC' short should be written in full form. 2. Full form 'KSBB' not mentioned through article 3. Aim and objective of this article is not properly mentioned. 4. In methodology section, a map of study area is needed. 5. Which type of statistical tools is used for statistical work should be mentioned? 6. From suggestion no. 11 to 15 should be justify.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Subrat Kumar Panigrahi
Department, University & Country	Maa Manikeshwari University, India