

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_127594
Title of the Manuscript:	PARTICIPATION OF BIODIVERSITY MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE (BMC) MEMBERS IN BIODIVERSITY CONSERVATION TRAINING PROGRAMS IN KERALA
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides valuable approach into the participation and capacity-building of Biodiversity Management Committee (BMC) members in biodiversity conservation efforts. By identifying gaps in training and participation, it highlights critical areas for intervention to enhance the effectiveness of grassroots biodiversity conservation strategies. The study's findings are particularly significant for policymakers, conservationists, and community leaders, offering a basis for designing targeted training programs and resource allocation.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Engaging Biodiversity Management Committee (BMC) Members in Conservation Training Programs: A Case Study from Kerala	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	yes	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	---	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. Mohamed Ramlath Sabura
Department, University & Country	Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, India