

***In-vitro* comparative antioxidant effects and proximate composition of peeled and unpeeled pigeon-pea (*Cajanus cajan*) Seedlings**

Comment [D1]: Seedling ????.replace with seed.

**ABSTRACT**

**Background:** Pigeon pea is an economical source of natural antioxidants and its use could be a means of attaining prospect in ensuring food safety. This *in vitro* study intends to compare the primary constituents and oxidation inhibition potentials of peeled pigeon pea (PPP) and unpeeled pigeon pea (UPP) seedlings.

Comment [D2]: ????

**Methods:** PPP and UPP samples were milled into flour and their corresponding soluble free phenolic extracts were prepared. Its flour was used to determine the proximate composition. The soluble-free phenolic extracts were used to assay for total phenols, total flavonoids, reducing property, DPPH radical scavenging ability iron-chelating ability and lipid peroxidation inhibition potential.

**Results:** Primary composition of peeled pigeon pea (PPP) had higher fat and carbohydrate content while the protein, fibre, ash and moisture content were higher in unpeeled pigeon pea (UPP). The phenolic constituents and reducing property of the Peeled pigeon pea was significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) higher than that of unpeeled pigeon pea with the value (12.80 mg/g > 11.85 mg/g), (6.07 mg/g > 5.46 mg/g) and (7.40 > 5.62) respectively. The results showed that both PPP and UPP extracts have antioxidant potentials. However, PPP had higher nitrogen monoxide (NO) radical scavenging ability and iron (Fe) chelating ability than UPP. Contrary to this trend, UPP exhibited greater DPPH radical scavenging activity and more effective inhibition of lipid malondialdehyde (MDA), the final by product of lipid peroxidation.

**Conclusion:** These findings will provide additional evidence that will buttress the potentiality of pigeon pea as it is filled with natural antioxidant properties that could be made public and applicable in the food industry.

**Comment [D3]:** Write the correlation between primary constituent and antioxidant.

**Keywords:**Antioxidants,Proximate,

*Cajanus cajan*, Oxidative stress, Lipid peroxidation, Traditional medicine

**Comment [D4]:** Delete

**Comment [D5]:** Delete.  
Write primary constituents and antioxidants name.

## 1. Introduction

Generally, internally produced and naturally occurring reactive oxygen species (ROS) are mainly produced by living cells as by products in the oxidative reaction process of the mitochondrial chain during metabolism (Nathan and Cunningham-Bussel, 2013).The impact of ROS on cell physiology is far-reaching. Moderate levels of ROS have positive effects, such as eliminating invading pathogens, promoting wound healing, and supporting repair processes (Bhattacharyya *et al.*, 2014). Nevertheless, oxidative stress has been associated with the onset of chronic conditions, including cancer, atherosclerosis, coronary artery disease, stroke, hypertension, diabetes, neurological disorders, and a compromised immune system (Zhu *et al.*, 2008). Natural antioxidants are therefore considered as an alternative to retard the oxidation process with minimal side effect unlike synthetic antioxidants butylated hydroxyl anisole (BHA) and butylated hydroxyl toluene (BHT) which have the tendency to promote carcinogenesis and are therefore under strict regulations due to their potential health hazards (Odubanjo *et al.*, 2017). The majority of researchers have discovered natural antioxidants in various parts of plants (Lai *et al.*, 2012; Atanassova *et al.*, 2011). Phenolic and flavonoid compounds are recognized as safe, natural antioxidants. Additionally, various in vivo studies have demonstrated a strong correlation between high dietary intake of these natural antioxidants and increased life expectancy(Lai *et al.*, 2012).Natural antioxidants are beneficial in lowering the risk of numerous chronic diseases, various cancers, diabetes, obesity, and hypertension, while also enhancing endothelial function (Lai *et al.*, 2012; Atanassova *et al.*, 2011).

Pigeon-pea(*Cajanus cajan* L. Millsp)is a medicinal herbaceous leguminous plant which belongs to the Fabaceae family (Florence *et al.*, 2014). The pigeon pea plant grows to a height of approximately 1 to 4 meters, featuring a deep tap root that extends up to 2 meters. Its stem is ribbed, angled,

and covered with fine hairs(Hossain *et al.*, 2013). The leaves are typically green and have long hairs, arranged in a spiral pattern around the stem and positioned alternately(Datta and Lal, 2012). The flowers are yellow with a red exterior color (Hossain *et al.*, 2013). There is still few scientific information on pigeon pea compared to other major legumes such as cowpea, soya beans etc. due to its underutilization, low exploitation and cultivation. Currently, the demand for sustainable development and economic recovery in developing regions is crucial, particularly in terms of ensuring access to high-quality protein-rich foods and improving food security. On comparing plant protein to that of animal's, it is generally accepted that plant protein is inferior due to some large polysaccharides that are not easily digested by the animal. Conversely, the high cost of while animal protein has therefore been rendered the kind of food that cannot be easily purchased by the common man (Chinedu and Nwinyi, 2012). Vegetable protein is a great source of dietary protein and they are more economical than animal products such as meat, fish, and poultry (Hossain and Awad, 2018). Pigeon pea seeds contain protein levels ranging from 21.0% to 29.0%, with approximately 50% carbohydrates, primarily starch (Aggarwal *et al.*, 2015). They are also abundant in minerals like potassium, phosphorus, magnesium, calcium, iron, and zinc, but have low levels of sodium and copper (Adepoju *et al.*, 2019). Given these nutritional benefits, this study aimed to further assess the proximate composition, total phenolic content, total flavonoid content, reducing capacity, iron-chelating ability, DPPH radical scavenging activity, nitric oxide radical scavenging potential, and lipid peroxidation inhibitory effects of pigeon pea.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1. Sample Collection

Fresh pigeon pea (*C. cajan*) samples used in this study were sourced from Osele market, located in Ikare Akoko, Ondo State, Nigeria. (7.5248 °N, 5.7669 °E). The bean seed was identified and authenticated with designated Voucher Number- 264 as that of (*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.)in the herbarium of Plant Science and Biotechnology Department, Adekunle Ajasin University, Akungba Akoko, Ondo State.

### 2.2. Preparation of samples

The dry pigeon pea seedlings that were purchased were cleaned and sorted to eliminate any extraneous materials and dirt. Approximately, 2 kg of the seedlings were split into two portions.

Comment [D6]: Write seed because seedling is a small plant.

Comment [D7]: Seed

One portion was soaked in 2 liters of water for around 24 hours to separate the hulls from the cotyledons, then sundried and further dried in an electric oven. Both the dried dehulled peas and the hulled peas were milled into a fine flour using an attrition mill; they were labeled PPP and UPP, respectively, and stored in an airtight container for further analysis.

#### **2.4. Chemicals and equipment**

The following substances were sourced from Sigma Aldrich: 2,2'-azinobis-(3-ethylbenzthiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) diammonium salt (ABTS), iron (II) sulfate, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, and 6-hydroxy-2,5,7,8-tetramethylchroman-2-carboxylic acid (TROLOX). Gallic acid, quercetin, butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT), trichloroacetic acid (TCA), Folin-Ciocalteu's phenol reagent, acetone, methanol, and ethanol were sourced from Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO, USA. Additional reagents were supplied by the Biochemistry Department at Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Osun State.

#### **2.5. Extraction of soluble free phenolic compounds**

Each powdered sample (100 g) was blended with 80% acetone in a 1:4 ratio (w/v) using a chilled blender for 10 minutes. To ensure complete homogenization, the blending was continued for an additional 3 minutes. The resulting mixtures were filtered through Whatman No. 2 filter paper using a Buchner funnel under vacuum. The solid residues were retained for the extraction of bound phenolics. The filtrates were then concentrated using a rotary evaporator under vacuum at 45 °C. The extracts were frozen at -4 °C (Chu et al., 2002) and stored in airtight containers until further analysis.

#### **2.6. Primary Composition**

According to the AOAC (1995) methods, the PPP and UPP flours were evaluated for moisture level, ash, crude protein, fat, and crude fiber. Carbohydrate content was determined by difference. The reported values are the means of three replicate samples accompanied by their standard deviations.

#### **2.7. Total Phenol determination**

The total phenol content was subsequently expressed as Gallic Acid Equivalent (GAE). The total phenolic content of each extract was determined following the procedure described by Singleton et al. (1999). A mixture was prepared by combining 0.1 mL of the sample with 0.9 mL of water, followed by the addition of 0.2 mL of Folin-Ciocalteu's phenol reagent. This mixture was vortexed and left to stand for 5 minutes. Next, 1.0 mL of 7% (w/w) sodium carbonate ( $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$ ) solution was added, and the volume was adjusted to a final 2.5 mL. The solution was then incubated at room temperature for 90 minutes. Absorbance was recorded at 750 nm against a blank, where water replaced the sample. The phenolic content was expressed as Gallic Acid Equivalent (GAE).

#### **2.8. Determination of Total flavonoid content**

A slightly modified method based on Meda et al. (2005) was used to assess the total flavonoid content of each extract. To 0.1 mL of the extract or standard, 0.1 mL of distilled water was added, followed by the addition of 0.1 mL of 5% sodium nitrite. After 5 minutes, 0.1 mL of 10% aluminum chloride and 0.2 mL of sodium hydroxide were introduced, and the volume was adjusted to 2.5 mL with distilled water. The absorbance was then measured at 510 nm against a blank. The total flavonoid content of the beans was expressed using quercetin as a standard.

#### **2.9. Reducing property**

The reducing activity of each extract was evaluated by measuring its potential to decrease  $\text{FeCl}_3$  solution, following the method outlined by Benzie and Strain (1999). A FRAP reagent was formulated by combining 300 mmol/L acetate buffer at pH 3.6, 10 mmol/L of 2,4,6-tri-(2-pyridyl)-1,3,5-triazine, and 20 mmol/L of  $\text{FeCl}_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$  in a ratio of 10:1:1. To this reagent, 50  $\mu\text{L}$  of each extract (at a concentration of 0.1 mg/mL) and 50  $\mu\text{L}$  of standard ascorbic acid solutions (at concentrations of 20, 40, 80, 120, 160, and 200  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ ) were added. The mixture was then incubated for 10 minutes, and the absorbance was recorded at 630 nm against a blank containing 50  $\mu\text{L}$  of distilled water. The reducing power of the plant was determined using quercetin as a reference standard.

#### **2.10. DPPH Free Radical Scavenging Assay**

A method described by Braca et al. (2001) was used to assess the free radical-scavenging activity of each extract against DPPH free radicals. Various volumes of each extract sample (ranging from 0 to 100  $\mu\text{L}$ ) were added to 1 mL of 0.3 mM DPPH solution in methanol. The mixture was thoroughly mixed and left to incubate in the dark for 30 minutes. Following incubation, the absorbance was recorded at 517 nm, using a DPPH control that contained 1 mL of methanol without the extract.

The per cent of inhibition was calculated using the formula:

$$\% \text{ Inhibition} = ((\text{Standard} - \text{sample}) / \text{Standard}) \times 100\%$$

### **2.11. $\text{Fe}^{2+}$ Chelation Assay**

The ferrous ion chelating (FIC) assay was performed according to the method described by Singh and Rajini (2004), with slight modifications. Solutions of 2 mM  $\text{FeCl}_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and 15 mM ferrozine were diluted to one-twentieth of their initial concentrations. Each extract sample (ranging from 0 to 100  $\mu\text{L}$ ) was mixed with 1 mL of  $\text{FeCl}_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ . Following a 5-minute incubation, the reaction was triggered by adding 1 mL of ferrozine. The mixture was then vigorously agitated, followed by an additional 10-minute incubation. The absorbance of the resulting solution was recorded using a spectrophotometer at 562 nm. The percentage of inhibition of ferrozine- $\text{Fe}^{2+}$  complex formation was determined using the formula:

$$\text{Iron Chelating Effect (\%)} = ((A \text{ control} - A \text{ sample}) / A \text{ control}) \times 100$$

where A control represents the absorbance of the control sample (containing  $\text{FeCl}_2$  and ferrozine for complex formation), and A sample denotes the absorbance of the tested sample.

### **2.12. Nitric oxide radical scavenging assay**

The ability of each extract to scavenge nitric oxide was assessed spectrophotometrically using Griess reagent, as outlined by Govindarajan et al. (2003). In brief, 0.3 mL of sodium nitroprusside (5 mM) was mixed with 1 mL of each extract. The test tube mixtures were incubated under light conditions at 25  $^\circ\text{C}$  for 150 minutes. After incubation, 0.5 mL of Griess reagent (composed of equal parts of 1% sulfanilamide in 5% ortho-phosphoric acid and 0.01% naphthyl-ethylenediamine in distilled water, prepared 12 hours earlier) was added. The absorbance was then recorded at 546 nm using a spectrophotometer, and the percentage inhibition of nitric oxide radical generation was determined.

### **2.13 Bioassay**

### **2.13.1 Preparation of liver homogenate**

Using mild diethyl ether anesthesia, the rats were euthanized followed by the quick removal of the liver, it was then placed on ice and weighed. The liver tissue was subsequently homogenized in cold saline (1:10 w/v) using roughly 10 vertical strokes at about 1200 rpm in a Teflon glass homogenizer (DuPont, Wilmington, DE). The homogenate was centrifugally separated for 10 minutes at 3,000 g, resulting in a pellet that was disposed of, while the low-speed supernatant (S1) was collected and reserved for the analysis of lipid peroxidation (Belle et al., 2004).

### **2.13.2. Lipid peroxidation assay**

The analysis of lipid peroxidation was performed using a refined technique based on Ohakawa et al. (1979). In brief, 30  $\mu\text{L}$  of 0.1 mol/L Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.4), of the reaction mixture was combined with the homogenate fraction containing 100  $\mu\text{L}$ , varying volumes of each sample extract (0-100  $\mu\text{L}$ ), and 30  $\mu\text{L}$  of the pro-oxidant solution (250  $\mu\text{M}$   $\text{FeSO}_4$ ). The total volume was brought to 300  $\mu\text{L}$  with water and incubated at 37  $^\circ\text{C}$  for 1 hour. To develop the color reaction, 300  $\mu\text{L}$  of 8.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate was added to the mixture, followed by 600  $\mu\text{L}$  of acetic acid/HCl (pH 3.4) and 600  $\mu\text{L}$  of 0.8% thiobarbituric acid (TBA). This mixture was then incubated at 100  $^\circ\text{C}$  for 1 hour. The thiobarbituric acid reactive species produced were quantified at 532 nm using a spectrophotometer. Malondialdehyde (MDA) served as the standard, and the thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) generated were expressed as equivalents of MDA.

### **2.14. Data Analysis**

The results from the three replicate measurements were aggregated and reported as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. All data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by Newman-Keuls multiple range post hoc tests, with a significance level established at  $p < 0.05$ . Statistical evaluations were performed using GraphPad Prism version 5.00 for Windows. The IC50 (the extract concentration needed to attain 50% reducing activity) was determined using linear regression analysis.

## **3. Results and Discussion**

The Primary composition of the PPP and UPP flours was analyzed and is shown in Table 1. The UPP sample had a higher moisture content (8.44%) compared to the PPP sample (8.06%). Pigeon

pea is one of the less consumed and underutilized plants. However, the reported values in this study are lesser than those earlier researched in some other common beans and cowpea (Nwadike *et al.*, 2018). This is an indication that it can easily be stored for a longer period.

The protein content of the PPP and UPP flours ranged from 12.19% to 15.23%. The UPP flour (15.23%) had a higher value compared to the PPP flour (12.19%). The protein levels are greater than those found in fluted pumpkin seeds (*Telferia occidentalis*), making pigeon pea a viable alternative source of plant-based protein (Agunbiade and Ojezele, 2010). The consumption of this type of beans points to the fact that it would also benefit in the area of mental growth and development.

The fat content values of PPP and UPP flours were 2.33% and 2.90% respectively. Fat obtained from plants are of great importance because of its wide distribution in foods and vital roles in cell maintenance (Williams and Lenkat, 2018). The incorporation of pigeon pea would be of great economic value and health benefit as it is readily available in our environment.

Ash forms part of proximate components that represents the residue remaining after the organic matter in foodstuff has been completely ignited and it's a source of mineral content in food. Ash content of the UPP (4.63%) was significantly higher than that of PPP (2.72%). These results align with the research carried out by Ibeabuchi *et al.*, 2017 as the undehulled beans showed higher ash content value than the dehulled.

The presence of crude fibre in food has some relevant effects in gastrointestinal tract among which is constituting the faecal bulk, removal of bile acids and stimulation of neutral steroids that lower the body cholesterol level. The crude fibre content observed for both PPP (0.02%) and UPP (3.25%) samples is lower than the 5.44% value that obtained in the earlier researched soya bean (Ogbemudia *et al.*, 2017).

The values for the carbohydrate content of the PPP and UPP were 74.11% and 66.10% respectively. The values are higher compared to the earlier researched carbohydrate content (31.25%) in *Telfairia occidentalis* seeds (Agunbiade and Ojezele, 2010). Carbohydrate in beans unlike sweet bars is an essential component that has been reported to contain some compounds such as stachyose and raffinose that serve as substrates for an increase in bifidobacteria. This condition favours bacterial fermentation in the human gut to positively impact gut metabolism (Řezáčová, 2010).

Comment [D8]: Add new reference also

Comment [D9]: Add one reference that tell about the use PPP/UPP is beneficial for mental growth.

Comment [D10]: Delete

Comment [D11]: Remove the "earlier" word and then rewrite a sentence.

The total phenolic concentration of the PPP and UPP extracts was analyzed and is shown in Table 2. The PPP extract displayed higher content of total phenol than the UPP extract at 12.80mg/g of GAE and 11.85mg/g of GAE respectively. Similarly, a pattern was observed with the total flavonoid content as well. (Table 2) as PPP extract (6.07 mg/g QRT) showed higher value than that of UPP extract (5.46 mg/g QRT). Earlier and recent investigations of phenolics as one of the most prominent and thoroughly researched category of phytochemicals have continued to report them as remarkable antioxidants with medicinal properties. The quantity of total phenol in a plant measures the total amount of phenolics and flavonoids present with much emphasis revealing the diverse nature of flavonoid due to its credited antioxidant and medicinal tendencies (Cartea *et al.*, 2011). Furthermore, flavonoids have been identified as the primary components of nutraceuticals, playing a role in reducing cellular oxidative stress (Lobo *et al.*, 2010). As a result of these facts, the function of antioxidants in human body viz a viz the scavenging of reactive oxygen species (ROS) has necessitated the awareness about the consumption of plants. The supply of dietary antioxidant is therefore considered the most practical approach to reducing various chronic degenerative diseases (Shodehinde and Oboh, 2012).

**Comment [D12]:** Rewrite sentence....Category ?????

**Comment [D13]:** The amount of total phenol in a plant indicates the total amount of phenolics and flavonoids present. Because of their recognized antioxidant and therapeutic properties, flavonoids are highly valued for their various natures (Cartea *et al.*, 2011).

**Comment [D14]:** New references absent in script.

One of the analyses of plant extract property is according to the ability to reduce iron (III) to iron (II). The PPP and UPP extracts were assessed and their results are presented in Table 2 which shows PPP to have higher ( $p < 0.05$ ) reducing power (7.40 mg/gGAE) than the UPP extract (5.62 mg/g GAE). The findings regarding the reducing property align with the total flavonoid and total phenol content. The reducing capacity of a plant sample may indicate a substantial potential for exhibiting antioxidant activity. Nevertheless, the activity of many antioxidants is associated with various mechanisms, including the breakdown of peroxides, metal chelation, prevention of chain initiation, and radical scavenging, among others (Lobo *et al.*, 2010).

**Comment [D15]:** New references missing.

The mechanism by which antioxidants scavenge various reactive oxygen species (ROS) in vitro is considered a means of preventing the chain initiation step. The current results, which demonstrate the inhibition of free radicals by PPP and UPP phenolic extracts in a dose-dependent manner, are illustrated in Figure 1, with their respective  $IC_{50}$  values shown in Table 3. Importantly, the UPP extract demonstrated a considerably greater free radical scavenging capacity ( $IC_{50} = 5.65$  mg/mL) in comparison to the PPP extract ( $p < 0.05$ ). However, a difference was observed between the levels of phenolic content and the DPPH free radical scavenging activity in both the PPP and UPP

**Comment [D16]:** First time full form in bracket. After that you use short form.

**Comment [D17]:** Full form

extracts. The discordance between these parameters in this present study may be attributed to the presence of some non-phenolic substances in the extracts such as amino acids, phytate and peptides which could have contributed to the higher DPPH free radical scavenging ability of UPP extract. This supports the findings of Djordjevic *et al.* 2011, in which he studied red kidney bean and soya bean of higher total phenol content did not generally display higher DPPH radical scavenging ability.

The study of reactive oxygen species continues to attract the attention of researchers as they are considered one of the major risk factors that could later progress into pathogenesis. Neurodegenerative diseases as one of the considered pathological conditions are generated through the interrelation between free radical-induced mitochondrial dysfunction and cytotoxicity (Bhat *et al.*, 2015). In the current study, we assessed nitric oxide (NO) levels in a dose-dependent manner within the range of 0.06 - 0.26 mg/mL, with results illustrated in Figure 2. The findings indicated no significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ) in scavenging activity at lower concentrations; however, both PPP and UPP extracts demonstrated higher inhibitory activity at elevated concentrations. Notably, the PPP extract exhibited a greater inhibitory capacity than the UPP extract. The [IC50] value for the PPP extract was lower (3.67 mg/mL), indicating greater activity compared to the UPP extract (3.83 mg/mL). NO serves as a universal neuronal messenger that can cause neuronal damage in conjunction with other [ROS]. Additional research into the role of NO in promoting synaptic plasticity (Pitsikas, 2015) and its potential to impair learning may be mitigated by reducing its donor levels (Paul and Ekambaram, 2011).

The present *in vitro* study agrees with the earlier researched work of Pitsikas, 2015 on dose-dependent functioning of NO on neuronal actions. The low concentrations elicited neuroprotective properties while the higher concentrations promoted inflammatory actions and were considered neurotoxic. Also, the ability of PPP and UPP extracts could be suggested to possess remarkable antioxidant and medicinal properties that could be exploited to improve neuronal functions.

There are indications from earlier researched work that phenolics carry out their mode of action as antioxidants to chelate and/or scavenge iron (Fe). Excessive Fe production can lead to harmful effects by reacting with hydrogen peroxide ( $H_2O_2$ ) to generate hydroxyl radicals through the Fenton reaction (Moreira da Silva *et al.*, 2010). In this *in vitro* study, the results regarding the iron-chelating ability of PPP and UPP extracts are illustrated in Figure 3. Both extracts demonstrated the

Comment [D18]: Full form

Comment [D19]: Full form

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Subscript

capacity to chelate iron (Fe) in a dose-dependent manner (0 - 0.3 mg/mL). However, the PPP extract showed a greater inhibitory effect compared to the UPP extract. Notably, both extracts exhibited the same IC50 value (9.48 mg/mL), indicating no significant difference in their chelating ability. The exact reason for the identical IC50 value cannot be definitively determined, but it is important to mention. Nonetheless, the iron chelating ability mirrored the trends observed in total phenolic content, total flavonoid content, and reducing properties.

Likewise, an increase in the concentration of ROS has been associated with a direct assault on the oxidative damage to biomolecules like lipids, proteins, and DNA, contributing to pathological conditions and hastening the aging process (Volinsky et al., 2013). The dose-dependent protective effects (0 – 0.25 mg/mL) of PPP and UPP extracts against iron-induced lipid peroxidation in cultured rat liver are illustrated in Figure 4. The result revealed a significant inhibitory effect on FeSO<sub>4</sub>. However, UPP extract displayed higher inhibitory activity than PPP extract which follows the trend observed in DPPH radical scavenging ability (Figure 1). Malondialdehyde (MDA), a secondary product of the lipid peroxidation chain reaction, has been widely utilized as a dependable biomarker for assessing oxidative stress in the field of biomedical research (Giera *et al.*, 2012). The result of the present research on MDA showed lack of agreement between phenolic contents and reducing property values (Table 2). According to Djordjevic *et al.* 2011, an observed discordance between total phenol concentration and the ability of lipid peroxidation inhibition in plants should not be established that plants with higher phenolic values are necessarily more proficient in reducing lipid peroxidation. The justification for this is based on the complex nature of lipid peroxidation inhibition as un-compounded phenols, polyphenols with high-molecular weight and other non-phenolics antioxidants partake in its mechanism of action.

More and more studies have continued to substantiate the findings that the accumulation of excess iron leads to pathophysiological settings. It is the general opinion that hydroxyl radical formation through the redox cycling of Fenton reaction in which free Fe<sup>2+</sup> interact with hydrogen peroxide (as an oxidant) to form Fe<sup>3+</sup>. Fe<sup>2+</sup> and Fe<sup>3+</sup> are precursors for the initiation of lipid oxidation chain reaction. However, the formation of a complex with the prooxidants could prevent the onset of lipid peroxidation in a biological system (Shodehinde and Oboh, 2012). Based on this, it could be suggested that the PPP and the UPP extract under study possess the ability to bond with Fe (II) to consequently prevent the initiation of lipid peroxidation.

#### 4. Conclusion

The findings of this study emphasized the proximate composition, phenolic content, and antioxidant potential of PPP and UPP in vitro. Notably, there was a difference in antioxidant capacity between the two samples. The inhibitory effects observed in both PPP and UPP can be linked to their quantified phenolic contents. Thus, it can be proposed that including pigeon pea in the diet may enhance overall health by reducing the accumulation of free radicals and lowering the risk of developing degenerative diseases.

**Comment [D20]:** Add relevant references in the introduction and also discuss them in the results and discussion part.

#### References

- Adepoju O.T., Dudulewa B.I. and Bamigboye A.Y. 2019. Effect of Cooking Methods on Time and Nutrient Retention of Pigeon Pea (*Cajanus Cajan*). Afr. J. Food Agric. Nutr. Dev. 2019; 19(3): 14708-14725.
- Aggarwal A, Nautiyal U, Negi D (2015) Characterization and evaluation of the antioxidant activity of *Cajanus cajan* and *Pisum sativum*. Int J Rec Adv Sci Tech 2:21–26.
- Agunbiade SO, Ojezele MO (2010) Quality Evaluation of instant Breakfast cereals Fabricated from Maize sorghum soybean and African yam bean (*Sphenostylisstenocarpa*) W. J. Dairy and Food Sci 5(1): 67-72.
- AOAC (1995) Official methods of analysis 16th Ed. Association of official analytical chemists. Washington DC, USA.
- Atanassova M, Georgieva S, Ivancheva K (2011) Total phenolic and total flavonoid contents, antioxidant capacity and biological contaminants in medicinal herbs. J Univ Chem Technol Metallurgy 46(1): 81-88.
- Belle NA, Dalmolin GD, Fonini G, Rubim MA, Rocha JB. Polyamines reduce lipid peroxidation induced by different pro-oxidant agents. Brain Res 2004; 1008: 245-251.
- Benzie IFF, Strain J (1999) Ferric reducing/antioxidant power assay: Direct measure of total antioxidant activity of biological fluids and modified version for simultaneous measurement of total antioxidant power and ascorbic acid concentration. Method Enzymol 299: 15-27.

- Bhat, A. H., Dar, K. B., Anees, S., Zargar, M. A., Masood, A., Sofi, M. A., & Ganie, S. A. (2015). Oxidative stress, mitochondrial dysfunction and neurodegenerative diseases; a mechanistic insight. *Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy*, 74, 101–110.
- Bhattacharyya A, Chattopadhyay R, Mitra S, Crowe SE (2014) Oxidative stress: an essential factor in the pathogenesis of gastrointestinal mucosal diseases. *Physiol Rev*.94:329-354.
- Braca A, De Tommasi N, Di Bari L, Pizza C, Politi M, *et al.* (2001) Antioxidant principles from *Bauhinia tarapotensis*. *J Nat Prod* 64(7): 892-895.
- Cartea ME, Francisco M, Soengas P, Velasco P. Phenolic compounds in Brassica vegetables. *Molecules*. 2011; 16:251-280.
- Chinedu S.N and Nwinyi C.O. 2012. Proximate analysis of *Sphenostylisstenocarpa* and *Voadzeia* subterranean consumed in South-Eastern Nigeria. *Journal of Agricultural Extension and Rural Development*. 4(3), 57-62.
- Chu Y, Sun J, Wu X, Liu RH (2002) Antioxidant and antiproliferative activity of common vegetables. *J Agric Food Chem* 50: 6910–6916.
- Datta J, Lal N (2012) Temporal and spatial changes in phenolic compounds in response to *Fusarium wilt* in chickpea and pigeon pea. *Cell Mol Biol (Noisy-le-grand)* 58(1): 96-102.
- Djordjevic T.M, Šiler-Marinkovic S.S and Dimitrijevic-Brankovic S.I.(2011)Antioxidant Activity and Total Phenolic Content in Some Cereals and Legumes, *International Journal of Food Properties*,14:1,175-184.
- Florence IF, Adeboye AO, Stephen IO (2014) Comparative evaluation of *in vitro* antioxidant properties of *Cajanus cajan* seed and *Moringa oleifera* leaf extracts. *Int J Biochem Res Rev* 4(2): 163-172.
- Giera, M., Lingeman, H. and Niessen, W.M.A. 2012. “Recent advancements in the LC- and GC-based analysis of malondialdehyde (MDA): a brief overview” *Chromatographia*, 75(.9-10), 433–440, 2012.
- Govindarajan B, Bai X, Cohen C, Zhong H, Kilroy S, *et al.* (2003) Malignant transformation of melanocytes to melanoma by constitutive activation of mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase (MAPKK) signalling. *J Biol Chem* 278(11): 9790-9795.
- Hossain M.A and Awad E.A. 2018. The efficacy of plant-based diets on growth potential, energy utilization, nutrient digestibility, leg bone development and litter quality of meat chickens. *International Journal of Applied Science*.8 (1), 1-7.

- Hossain MA, ALsabari KM, Weli AM, Al-Riyami Q (2013) Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry analysis and total phenolic contents of various crude extracts from the fruits of *Datura metel*L. *J Taibah Univ Sci* 7: 209-213.
- Ibeabuchi, J.C., Okafor, D. C., Peter –Ikechukwu A., Agunwa, I.M., Eluchie, C.N., Ofoedu C.E. and Nwatu, N.P. (2017). Comparative study on the proximate composition, functional and sensory properties of three varieties of beans *Phaseolus lunatus*, *Phaseolus vulgaris* and *Vigna unguiculata*. *International Journal of Advancement in Engineering Technology, Management and Applied Science*. 5(1): 1-23.
- Lai YS, Hsu WH, Huang JJ, Wu SC. (2012) Antioxidant and anti-inflammatory effects of pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan*L.) extracts on hydrogen peroxide and lipopolysaccharide-treated RAW264.7 macrophages. *Food Funct*3(12): 1294-1301
- Lobo V., Patil A., Phatak A. and Chandra N. 2010. Free radicals, antioxidants and functional foods: Impact on human health. *Pharmacognosy Review*. 4(8): 118-126.
- Meda A, Lamien CE, Romito M, Millogo J, Nacoulma OG (2005) Determination of the total phenolic, flavonoid and proline contents in Burkina Faso honey, as well as their radical scavenging activity. *Food Chem* 9: 571-577.
- Moreira da Silva F, Marques A, Chaveiro A. Reactive oxygen species: a double-edged sword in reproduction. *The Open Vet Sci J* 2010; 4: 127-133.
- Nathan C, Cunningham-Bussell A (2013) Beyond oxidative stress: an immunologist's guide to reactive oxygen species. *Nat Rev Immunol*. 13:349-361.
- Nwadike C., Okere A., DNwosu D., Catherine Okoye C., Vange T and Apuyor B. 2018. Proximate and Nutrient Composition of Some Common Bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) and Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp.) Accessions of Jos- Plateau, Nigeria. *Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International* 15(1): 1-9. Article no. JAERI. 42138.
- Odubanjo V.O., Oboh G., Oyeleye S.I. and Adefegha S.A. 2017. Anticholinesterase activity and phenolic profile of two medicinal plants (*Quassia undulata* and *Senecio abyssinicus*) used in managing cognitive dysfunction in Nigeria. *Journal of Food Biochemistry*. DOI: 10.1111/jfbc.12497
- Ogbemudia R. E., Nnadozie B. C. and Anuge B. 2017. Mineral and Proximate Composition of Soya Bean. *Asian Journal of Physical and Chemical Sciences* 4(3): 1-6. Article no. AJOPACS. 38530.

- Ohakawa, H., Ohishi, N., & Yagi, K. (1979). Assay of lipid peroxidation in animal tissue by the thiobarbituric acid reaction. *Analytical Biochemistry*, 95, 351–358.
- Paul V. and Ekambaram P. 2011. Involvement of nitric oxide in learning & memory processes. *The Indian Journal of Medical Research* 133(5):471-478
- Pitsikas, N. (2015). The role of nitric oxide in the object recognition memory. *Behavioural Brain Research*, 285, 200–207.
- Řezáčová S (2010): Influence of soy flour added to the quality of the pastry. Dissertation, Tomas Bata University in Zlín. (in Czech).
- Shodehinde S.A and Oboh G. (2012). Assessment of antioxidant capacity, proximate composition and inhibitory activity of unripe plantain (*Musa paradisiaca*) products on Fe<sup>2+</sup> and sodium nitroprusside -induced oxidative stress in vitro. *Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health Sciences* Vol. 4(3) pp. 46-56.
- Singh N, Rajini PS (2004) Free radical scavenging activity of an aqueous extract of potato peel. *Food Chem* 85(4): 611-616.
- Singleton VL, Orthofer R, Lamuela-Raventós RM (1999) Analysis of total phenols and other oxidation substrates and antioxidants employing folin-ciocalteu reagent. *Meth in enzymol* 299: 152-178.
- Volinsky R. and Kinnunen, P.K.J. 2013. “Oxidized phosphatidyl-cholines in membrane-level cellular signalling: from biophysics to physiology and molecular pathology,” *FEBS Journal*, 280(12), pp.2806–2816.
- Williams E.T, and I. D. Lenkat I.D. (2018). Proximate Composition and some Elemental Analysis of Watermelon Seed (*Citrullus lanatus* thumb). *Adamawa State University Journal of Scientific Research*. 6(1), Article no. ADSUJSR 0601019.

**Table 1:** Proximate composition of PPP and UPP flours (%)

<b>Nutrient</b>	Moisture	Protein	Fat	Fibre	Ash	CHO
<b>PPP (%)</b>	8.06±0.31 <sup>b</sup>	12.19±0.00 <sup>b</sup>	2.90±0.18 <sup>a</sup>	0.02±0.00 <sup>b</sup>	2.72±0.11 <sup>b</sup>	74.11±0.60 <sup>a</sup>
<b>UPP (%)</b>	8.44±0.21 <sup>a</sup>	15.25±0.86 <sup>a</sup>	2.33 0.38 <sup>b</sup>	3.25±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	4.63±0.07 <sup>a</sup>	66.10±0.77 <sup>b</sup>

Mean (n= 3) in the same column followed by different letters are significantly different at p < .05.  
CHO: Carbohydrate

**Table 2:** Total phenol content, total flavonoid content, total antioxidant capacity and reducing

property of PPP and UPP extracts

<b>Parameter</b>	Total phenol content (mg/g GAE)	Total flavonoid content (mg/g QRT)	Reducing property (mg/g GAE)
<b>PPP</b>	12.80 ± 0.72 <sup>a</sup>	6.07 ± 0.33 <sup>a</sup>	7.40 ± 0.20 <sup>a</sup>
<b>UPP</b>	11.85 ± 0.25 <sup>b</sup>	5.46 ± 0.25 <sup>a</sup>	5.62 ± 0.33 <sup>b</sup>


Mean (n= 3) in the same column followed by different letters are significantly different at p < .05.

**Table 3.** IC<sub>50</sub> values of DPPH radical scavenging ability, NO radical scavenging ability and iron chelating ability of PPP and UPP extracts

<b>Samples</b>	<b>IC<sub>50</sub> (mg/mL)</b>		
	DPPH	NO	Fe
PPP	5.65	3.67	9.48
UPP	6.18	3.83	9.48


Mean (n= 3) in the same column followed by different letters are significantly different at p < .05.

PEER REVIEW




**Fig 1:** DPPH radical-scavenging ability of free phenolic extracts of PPP and UPP


UNDER PEER REVIEW



**Fig 2:** Nitric oxide radical-scavenging ability of free phenolic extracts of PPP and UPP



**Fig 3:** Iron chelating ability of free phenolic extracts of PPP and UPP



**Fig 4:** Inhibition of lipid peroxidation assay of free phenolic extracts of PPP and UPP

UNDER PEER REVIEW

