

## Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_128365
Title of the Manuscript:	COST AND RETURNS OF CASH CROPS IN SIRMOUR DISTRICT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript offers crucial insights into cost and returns of major cash crops in Sirmour District, highlighting the profitability of garlic and the challenges faced by farmers. It identifies key factors influencing production costs, including labor, seeds, and inputs like fertilizers and pesticides. The study also provides actionable recommendations for enhancing farm productivity and reducing operational costs, with a focus on the availability of high-quality seeds and affordable inputs. These findings contribute valuable insights to agricultural policy and economic planning, particularly in the context of small-scale farming in the region.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is informative and gives general idea of the article's content.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract can be made better by including the methodologies employed to investigate the findings in the research.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Certainly, the manuscript appears scientifically correct, employing appropriate measures to evaluate cost and returns in cash crops in Sirmour District, India. The methodology is clear, with systematic presentation of results supported by tabular evidence.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are not sufficient and also lack recent and relevant studies to strengthen the work. The paper would benefit if the authors include studies from the past 4/5 years to ensure that the findings are contextualized within current research trends. Moreover, the standard format for citation hasn't been employed by the authors.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language of the manuscript is generally clear and conveys the intended meaning. However, the paper is not devoid of minor grammatical and structural flaws, which need to be rectified to bolster its quality.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

## Review Form 3

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Pradeep Kandel</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Agriculture and Forestry University, Nepal</b>