

## Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_127981
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Social analysis of NTFP (Non-Timber Forest Products) dependent livelihoods of South India</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript is of a high-quality and well written by the authors. The findings are highly relevant and can inform policies to promote sustainable management of NTFPs.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title is suitable	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract is comprehensive and it captures the main findings. No addition or deletion required.	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	The manuscript is scientifically relevant. However, the authors need to state the gap the manuscript intends to close and also discuss briefly what other researchers have done that is similar and what is important that was not done that informed this study.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The references are somewhat sufficient for the manuscript, but they are quite old. The authors need to provide recent references especially in the introduction and discussion sections.	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>The manuscript is well written but needs to be backed up with recent references. The Sampling procedure is not explanatory enough. i.e. what sampling methods was used to select the panchayaths?</p> <p>In the regression analysis, the independent variable was listed but the author did not mention the variable. It should be clearly stated if the dependent variable is income from NTFPS.</p> <p>Lastly, the challenges faced by the tribal communities in NTFPs collection were not presented in the results and discussion section but were only stated in the conclusion. The authors should endeavour to present these findings properly in the results section.</p>	

## Review Form 3

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Olalekan Anthony Fatoki</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Forestry Research Institute of Nigeria, Nigeria</b>