

## Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_127981
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Social analysis of NTFP (Non-Timber Forest Products) dependent livelihoods of South India</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript is important because it Generates Information on key socio-economic factors explaining the collection and income importance of NTFPs. It has information on the perceptions of the NTFPs dependent communities on sustainability of the NTFPs. Finally,the manuscript has information that would contribute to formulation of good NTFPs policy for South India. Provides critical information in designing policies that would	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title is suitable but can be improved to make it broader and correspond to the aim. Should start with "Socio-economic analysis of NTFP (Non-Timber Forest Products) dependent livelihoods of South India"	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>The abstract is comprehensive enough</b>	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct?</b>	The manuscript is scientifically correct but the authors need to address the concerns mentioned in the general comments section.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The references are okay but the authors need to provide the reference for the statement; "In India, NTFPs are particularly crucial for the socioeconomically marginalized tribal populations living in forest regions".	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language is good	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The results as summarized in the abstract suggests that there is gender differentiation in collection of NTFPs but this is not supported by data in the results section of the paper. There is no data showing that the gender distribution of the respondents directly reflects the gender distributions of the collectors. This can old be true if it clearly indicated in the methodology section that the respondents were sampled from NTFPs collectors.  Given the confusion between NTFPs and NWFPs, it would help if the authors can include a sentence or two differentiating the them in the introduction section	

## Review Form 3

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Collins Otieno Obonyo
Department, University & Country	Kenya Forestry Research Institute, Kenya