

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_127953
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Assessment of Green and Red Lasers for Long-Distance Light Intensity Detection Using Light Dependent Resistors
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript titled <i>Comparative Assessment of Green and Red Lasers for Long-Distance Light Intensity Detection Using Light Dependent Resistors</i> offers valuable insights into the performance and suitability of different laser wavelengths for precision optical sensing applications. By providing a comparative analysis of green and red lasers, it highlights their efficiency in long-distance light intensity detection	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Satisfactory	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Rewrite the abstract which highlighting the exact contribution made by authors.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	It needs modification to make manuscript scientifically correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Not Sufficient, the manuscript does not contain any recent references. It is outdated work.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There are lots of grammatical errors throughout the manuscript.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Neeraj Gupta
Department, University & Country	Amity University Haryana, India