

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_128349
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Assesemnet Of Aquifer Vulnerabilty Near Major Dumpsites Around Karu-Abuja And Keffi In Nasarawa State, Nigeria Using Integrated Geophysical Methods
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The state of aquifers significantly impacts on the quality of groundwater. Water resource has been greatly affected by various factors including geological and anthropogenic influence resulting in the degradation, inadequate and availability of water resources for various purpose.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is appropriate.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is well detailed consisting of key components of the study.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Few of the references are quite old and can be replaced with recent work if they're not used as standard methodology deployed in the research work. Also, the number of reference used is not sufficient and should be improved upon.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language is suitable.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Updated map can be sought for as some features may have changed over time	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Sochi Otisi Anaga
Department, University & Country	National Biotechnology Research and Development Agency, Nigeria