

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Botany
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIB_128128
Title of the Manuscript:	Ficus sur Forssk (Moraceae): A review on its Traditional uses, phytochemical, pharmacology, and toxicity profile
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Write down the reasons why it is necessary to review the traditional uses, phytochemistry, pharmacology, and toxicity of the <i>Ficus sur</i> species.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	This article would be better off using the title: A Review of the Traditional Uses, phytochemistry, and pharmacology of <i>Ficus sur</i> .	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The conclusion needs to be complemented by the phytochemical content of <i>Ficus sur</i> .	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	After the introduction, there is a need for a method that explains how to review <i>Ficus sur</i> .	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Of the 30 references used, there are only 14 recent references (last 10 years) so the author needs to add the latest references (last 5 years).	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English used is quite good.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Slamet Mardiyanto Rahayu
Department, University & Country	Universitas Islam Al-Azhar, Indonesia