

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Botany
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIB_128128
Title of the Manuscript:	Ficus sur Forssk (Moraceae): A review on its Traditional uses, phytochemical, pharmacology, and toxicity profile
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article has given a summary on the medicinal properties of <i>Ficus sur</i> it can therefore serve as a guide to researchers who will want to carry out further work on the plants.	Null
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is correct but the citation format should be uniform. Use either numbering citation throughout or full format citation	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Add more recent references to update the old once	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is suitable	
Optional/General comments	Before conclusion add a brief summary that will serve as overview of the findings State the most common phytochemical and the part on which they are mostly available.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Grace Gudu Joseph
Department, University & Country	Kebbi State University of Science and Technology Aliero, Nigeria