

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Parasitology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJP_127714
Title of the Manuscript:	Malaria Prevention and Use of Insecticide Treated Nets (ITNs) Among Pregnant Women in the Tamale Metropolis.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It addresses critical gaps in understanding the utilization of insecticide-treated nets (ITNs) among pregnant women, a highly vulnerable population in malaria-endemic regions like Tamale Metropolis. By evaluating barriers to consistent ITN use, such as discomfort, structural challenges, and socioeconomic factors, the study provides actionable insights to improve public health interventions. The findings contribute to the broader literature on malaria prevention by highlighting the disconnect between ITN ownership and consistent usage, thereby informing strategies to enhance adherence. Furthermore, this research underscores the importance of targeted educational campaigns and infrastructural improvements, offering valuable guidance for policymakers and public health professionals aiming to reduce malaria-related morbidity and mortality.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It is clear and informative, but based on the contents, I would love to suggest a slight modifications like "Barriers and Determinants of Insecticide-Treated Net Utilization for Malaria Prevention Among Pregnant Women in Tamale Metropolis, Ghana"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is generally comprehensive, providing a clear summary of the study's objectives, methods, key findings, and conclusions. However, it could be improved by including specific statistical results (e.g., Adjusted Odds Ratios or significant p-values) to emphasize the determinants of ITN usage. Additionally, the practical implications or recommendations for addressing barriers to ITN use should be briefly mentioned to strengthen its impact. As suggested in the manuscript.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, it is.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the references are sufficient.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is super clear generally and of course suitable for scholarly communication, but minor grammatical issues and redundancies should be addressed to enhance precision and readability as recommended in the manuscript.	
Optional/General comments	Just work on the suggestions as per recommended in the manuscript.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Babucarr Jassej
Department, University & Country	Airlangga University, The Gambia