

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_128360
Title of the Manuscript:	The Role of Supply Chain Finance in India's Economic Growth: A Comprehensive Overview
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The general comment: This manuscript holds significant value for the scientific community as it contributes to advancing the understanding in supply chain finance. By addressing a key topic or research gap, the study offers novel insights and enhances the theoretical framework surrounding supply chain finance. Moreover, its findings have practical implications, potentially guiding policymakers, practitioners, and researchers in implementing more effective strategies. Ultimately, this research enriches the existing body of knowledge and fosters further investigations into India's economic growth.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title is still unsuitable within the context</p> <p>The suggestion : "Supply Chain Finance in India: Driving Growth and Business Transformation" Positions SCF as a driver of both economic growth and innovation in business practices</p> <p>Or "Supply Chain Finance in India: Unlocking Economic Growth through Financial Innovation" Highlights both SCF and its role in economic growth, tying the abstract's focus on trends and advancements to the broader impact on India's economy</p>	

Review Form 3

<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The Comment:</p> <p>The title emphasises India's economic growth and presents the topic as a comprehensive overview of the role of supply chain finance (SCF). However, the abstract only briefly mentions India and does not explicitly discuss its economic growth. It focuses more on the general concept of SCF and its potential benefits for businesses.</p> <p>The Suggestion:</p> <p>First, to align with the title, the abstract should explicitly state how SCF influences India's economic growth (e.g., by enabling liquidity, fostering SMEs, or leveraging technological advancements in Indian industries).</p> <p>Second, it could highlight specific trends, challenges, or case studies related to India to justify the title's focus on a "comprehensive overview."</p> <p>Third, the term "economic growth" is entirely missing from the abstract, which creates a disconnect.</p>	
<p>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</p>	<p>The manuscript appears to be scientifically correct as it adheres to established methodologies and frameworks in its field of study. The research design, data collection, and analysis methods are appropriately detailed, ensuring the validity and reliability of the findings. Furthermore, the conclusions are logically derived from the presented results, demonstrating a sound understanding of the subject matter. Any minor improvements could enhance clarity or depth, but the overall scientific accuracy of the manuscript is commendable.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Yes, this manuscript is better if the reference must be added anymore, to make the manuscript more qualified as a best international journal</p>	
<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language and English quality of the article are generally suitable for scholarly communication. The manuscript uses formal and precise language, adhering to the conventions of academic writing. Sentence structures are coherent, and key concepts are explained clearly. However, a few minor grammatical or stylistic adjustments may further enhance readability and alignment with international publishing standards. Overall, the language quality effectively supports the article's scholarly objectives</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript addresses a relevant topic with potential contributions to its field, demonstrating a solid foundation in research methodology and analysis. The structure is clear, and the key arguments are well-articulated. While the content is compelling, adding more recent references could strengthen the literature review and contextualize the findings within the latest advancements. Additionally, a more detailed explanation of certain methodological choices and their implications would enhance transparency. Overall, the manuscript has considerable value for both academics and practitioners.</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Istimaroh
Department, University & Country	Universitas Muhammadiyah Kalimantan Timur, Indonesia