

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_126877
Title of the Manuscript:	EXPLORING THE HORTICULTURAL SECTOR IN ARUNACHAL PRADESH: STATUS AND PROSPECTS
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This type of manuscript is very importance for scientific community due to increasing importance of horticultural and medicinal sectors among all of the community in the world. I am also like this type of manuscript because of I had been working for ten years such type of works. Beside these, always needs and demands of horticultural and medicinal plants among the human being everywhere in the global community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No. Status and Prospect of Horticultural and Medicinal Sector in Arunachal Pradesh: A Review Article	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No. Abstract of the article should be comprehensive. Written of abstract write up has very poor and not so well. More emphasis on prospects of horticultural and medicinal plants in Arunachal Pradesh. This is review article, so, need more emphasis on future endeavours in this sector is not only Arunachal Pradesh, it's also importance for the people of whole India.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No. Description of the article need more explanation with recent reference.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Any scientific article needs explanation and interpretation with the recent references but here lack of scientific sentence with no interpretation. This manuscript is not technically sound. Need more emphasis on scientifically robust such as its needs more information on medicinal plants with include scientific name.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References have sufficient but 1-2 and 13-20 references have not included in the text. It's only included in the references. The writer should be checked before submit the manuscript to any scientific Journal.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The write up of the English quality of the article is not so good for any scientific journal in the global. The write up should be clearly and easy with simple English language.	
Optional/General comments	This manuscript should be resubmitted after adding reviewer comments.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Md Ilias Hossain
Department, University & Country	Bangladesh Wheat and Maize Research Institute, Bangladesh