

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_126877
Title of the Manuscript:	EXPLORING THE HORTICULTURAL SECTOR IN ARUNACHAL PRADESH: STATUS AND PROSPECTS
Type of the Article	Review Article

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The study is a review of trends in horticultural crops including production and productivity trends of a locality in India which is relevant for its diversity of flora and fauna. The topic seems relevant in this regard.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title is appropriate	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract seems adequate and is within the suggested word count for this section.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	The subsections of the review article appear to be appropriate to the content.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This part is not entirely applicable as it is a review article. However, it does provide a query and presents tables or figures from official entities.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The references seem to be in line with the topic	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	the language is understandable for the type of communication	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Isela Quintero Zapata</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Instituto De Biotecnología, Universidad Autónoma De Nuevo León, México</b>