

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_125700
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge of farmers regarding PDKV Liquid Micro Grades
Type of the Article	Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article is important because it teaches about: 1. The importance of farmers' knowledge about PDKV Liquid Micro Grades 2. Knowledge about the importance of use for certain crops 3. Method of application of PDKV Liquid Micro Grades. I like this manuscript because this article contains the importance of farmer knowledge about PDKV Liquid Micro Grades for certain crops and their application methods	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The article's title is good (8 words)	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is good (181 words). Abstract maximum 250 words, it is recommended to add implications of research results. Key words is good (4 words)	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The article's structure is reasonable. Introduction is recommended to add 2-3 references. After the introduction is recommended to add a literature review. In the research method is recommended to add 1-2 references. In the discussion is recommended to add 1-2 references in each sub-discussion.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The scientific validity and technical feasibility of this text are based on the following: This article examines the following: 1. Knowledge of farmers regarding PDKV Liquid Micro Grades 2. Relationship of characteristics of farmers with knowledge of farmers regarding PDKV Liquid Micro Grades 3. Use and Method of application for certain crops	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	References are good. Sufficient and up-to-date references and recommended that reference citations use Mendeley IEEE or APA and a maximum of 20 years of reference. It is suggested to add references, as an example: Falke, V. M., Lambe, S. P., Lawankar, M. D., & Gawande, S. A. (2023). Utility perception of farmers towards PDKV Liquid Micro Grades. <i>Young (Upto 35)</i> , 30, 25-00. Nemade, D. K., Warade, S. V., Nandeshwar, B. C., & Parshuramkar, S. G. (2024). Evaluating the Impacts of Integrated Pest Management Techniques on Cotton Crop for Cost-effectiveness as Recommended by Dr. PDKV, Akola, Maharashtra, India. <i>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</i> , 46(8), 215-227.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The quality of the article's English is suitable for scientific communication, but it is recommended to use grammarly.com	
Optional/General comments	The paper is Accepted but needs minor Revision.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Endang Noerhartati
Department, University & Country	Universitas Wijaya Kusuma Surabaya, Indonesia