

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_128164
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring the Impact of Parental Involvement on Teachers' Sense of Self-Efficacy, Work Commitment, and Job Satisfaction
Type of the Article	

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript is important to the scientific community as it explores the critical influence of teacher-parent/guardian relationships on educators' professional identity, self-efficacy, and job satisfaction. By examining these interactions, the study addresses a gap in understanding how collaboration and communication with parents/guardians shape teachers' roles and effectiveness. The findings offer valuable insights that can inform educational policies and practices, ultimately contributing to the development of stronger support systems for educators and improved educational outcomes.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The existing title is clear and reflects the study's scope effectively. However, for better alignment with the manuscript's focus on teacher-parent/guardian relationships and its broader implications, you might consider: "Examining the Influence of Parental Involvement on Teachers' Self-Efficacy, Professional Commitment, and Job Satisfaction" This slight modification enhances readability while retaining the original meaning.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract is generally comprehensive, as it covers the background, research objectives, methods, and expected findings. However, it could benefit from slight adjustments for clarity and focus. Suggestions for improvement: 1. Streamline methodology: The details about the sample size and locations (three primary and three secondary schools) could be simplified, or just stating "a representative sample of teachers from various schools" might suffice. 2. Add findings: While the abstract mentions expected findings, including a brief mention of key outcomes or results could make it more complete. 3. Highlight implications: Emphasizing the potential practical applications of the findings would strengthen the abstract. In summary, while the abstract is comprehensive, it could be slightly more concise and include a clearer mention of the key findings and their implications.	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	The manuscript is well-structured and scientifically sound. However, simplifying the data analysis section and providing more context on the results' interpretation would enhance clarity. A deeper discussion of the limitations would also improve the paper. Overall, minor refinements are needed.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Yes, they are sufficient and recent.	

**Review Form 3**

<p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The language quality is generally suitable for scholarly communication but could be improved in terms of sentence structure, word choice, and punctuation. Some sentences are lengthy and could be simplified, and repetitive phrases could be varied for clarity. A bit of editing would enhance readability and flow.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript presents valuable insights into the impact of parental involvement on teacher identity. However, there are a few ethical considerations that need to be addressed:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Informed Consent:</b> Ensure that the manuscript clearly states that informed consent was obtained from all participants, outlining how participants were made aware of the study's purpose and their rights.</li> <li>2. <b>Confidentiality:</b></li> <li>3. <b>Ethical Approval:</b> The paper should mention whether ethical approval was granted by an institutional review board or ethics committee.</li> <li>4. <b>Bias and Transparency:</b> Clarify any potential conflicts of interest and biases in the study. This is especially important in studies involving subjective teacher and parental opinions.</li> </ol> <p>By addressing these points, the manuscript will better align with standard ethical research practices.</p> <p>No plagiarism</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<p><b>Reviewer's comment</b></p>	<p><b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p><b>Mohamed Nasir</b></p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p><b>School of Educational Studies, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia</b></p>