

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_127619
Title of the Manuscript:	THE ETHICAL PARADOX OF INFORMED CONSENT IN HPV VACCINATION: EXAMINING THE LEGAL BOUNDARIES AND ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS OF PARENTAL CONSENT, PUBLIC HEALTH, AND INDIVIDUAL RIGHTS
Type of the Article	Original paper

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically important to examine the ethical and legal dimensions of informed consent in HPV vaccination within the Indonesian healthcare system. The study highlights the complexities of implementing government based vaccination programs by revealing policy gaps and challenging existing practices. The manuscript's detailed analysis of legal regulations, ethical considerations, and practical implementation challenges makes it a valuable resource for researchers, policymakers, and healthcare professionals seeking to understand and improve vaccination strategies.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically and technically sound.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	One flowchart/ diagrammatic representation of the methodology section can make it more presentable.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shivangi Agarwal
Department, University & Country	India