

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJGH_128506
Title of the Manuscript:	Study of the pharmacological properties of Dichrostachys cinerea hydroalcoholic extract on the contractility of taenia coli isolated from guinea pigs
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		

Review Form 3

Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract not clear which is supposed to article, Grammarly error also	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Text citation used more gap and using more current citation in last five years research in introduction .2. Animals grouping is not mention . provide standard, test, control3. Methanol is toxic, provide toxicity analysis4. Table and figures caption is not appropriate.5. Using more abbreviation.6. Phytochemical screening result not describe.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Check all reference	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	All the section lots of spelling mistake and Grammarly error	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sandip Chatterjee
Department, University & Country	Gopal Narayan Singh University, India