

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_129322
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of climate on physiological and quality parameters of kinnow mandarin under north western Himalayan region
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript is valuable to the scientific community as it explores the impact of climatic factors on the physiological and quality parameters of Kinnow mandarin in the North Western Himalayan region. It identifies key weather variables affecting fruit quality and growth, providing insights for optimizing cultivation practices. These findings can support climate adaptation strategies and improve the sustainability of citrus farming in the region.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title, " <i>Effect of climate on physiological and quality parameters of kinnow mandarin under north western Himalayan region,</i> " is appropriate and aligns with the study's scope. No changes are necessary.	

**Review Form 3**

<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>The abstract is appropriate and comprehensive.</p>	
<p><b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b></p>	<p>The study is scientifically correct</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>	<p>References in the introduction and discussion parts could be improved. The references are generally sufficient; however, I would recommend checking if there are recent studies on “Effect of climate on physiological and quality parameters of kinnow mandarin under north western Himalayan region” that could be included, ideally from the last 5-10 years. Ensuring that the manuscript draws on the latest research will enhance its relevance and impact.</p>	
<p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The language and English quality of the article are generally suitable for scholarly communications</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Flow and readability are not maintained in the introduction. There should be a balance between the pre and post writing, which is lacking strongly in the introduction part, so Kindly focus....</p> <p>Introduction            Review of literature            Research Gap            Research question            Objective of the study</p> <p>The reader of the article should get a clarity from the communication you are trying to make. Then find the gap of previous studies and then explain why you undertook this study.            No need of mentioning the sub-headings in the introduction part but these things should be clearly incorporated to improve readability.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<p><b>Reviewer’s comment</b></p>	<p><b>Author’s comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p><b>Niraj Kumar</b></p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p><b>Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh, Gujarat</b></p>