

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_128690
Title of the Manuscript:	Biomedical waste management at Sokponta healthcare facility in Benin
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Management of waste from healthcare establishments is a major challenge on a global scale in relation to the various risks present in the management process of this category of hazardous wastes. these risks can affect both the caregiver, the patient, and threaten the environment. In addition, the evocation of such a subject for an academic study is very beneficial for the scientific community to carry out in-depth reflections with the aim of proposing reliable solutions for the good management of wastes from healthcare activities as well as the sharing of experiences by discussing the different results obtained and correct the non-conformities recorded	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<b>Not suitable</b> . I suggest: "Evaluation of the biomedical waste management process at Sokponta healthcare facility in Benin"	

**Review Form 3**

Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes we can say that the abstract is comprehensive, however the author can add the observations results to the abstract to improve the quality of the study, notably: results according to biomedical waste sorting and the non-application of colors codes for biomedical waste - <i>add quality of care in keywords</i>	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	- Add national regulatory texts since they are included in the study with caregivers - Write bibliographic references according to the APA standard	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The manuscript is written with a good language level.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	- You can add sentences or paragraphs to articulate ideas in the introduction - please include the quantity of waste produced per day during the study period. - please indicate the number of hospital beds in the two departments -	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	EL Anssari Anas
Department, University & Country	Morocco