

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Advances in Nephrology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJANR_129490
Title of the Manuscript:	Effectiveness of probiotics (Procite®) supplementation on uremic toxins among stage 3-5 non-dialysis chronic kidney disease patients: A real-world retrospective analysis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript has sound scientific information that can help in management of CKD and further the research in Nephrology. It explains well why we need probiotic in the management of CKD. Data analysis and discussions are adequately done.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	

Review Form 3

<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. Yes</p>	<p>1.State what probiotic contains as potential drug in the background section 2. Include sample size in methodology section 3. Analyse results of stage 3 and 4 only because stage 5 is the last stage , we can't asses progression on last stage</p>	
<p>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here. Yes</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Adequate reference</p>	
<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Language used is adequate for scholarly communication</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript is ideal for publication upon completion of the revisions</p>	

PART 2:

	<p><u>Reviewer's comment</u></p>	<p><u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u></p>
<p><u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u></p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p><u>Name:</u></p>	<p><u>Kennedy Wanyonyi Waswa</u></p>
<p><u>Department, University & Country</u></p>	<p><u>Maseno University, Kenya</u></p>