

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_129561
Title of the Manuscript:	SOIL SUITABILITY FOR COFFEE CULTIVATION IN NON-TRADITIONAL AREAS OF PANAMA-HERRERA Province
Type of the Article	Research article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	the manuscript is of undeniable scientific interest. it deals with a fundamental element in production, namely the soil. the dietary importance of coffee merits such a study.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	In fact; the title of the article is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is very comprehensive. Therefor we do some suggest to clear some points (see the commentary in article)	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript in scientifically most correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	More than 90% of the references are recent, making the manuscript up-to-date. the reference is therefore acceptable	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it very suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments	I find the document particularly excellent. This work can contribute to relaunching the coffee industry to ensure the development of farmers in the area and even beyond. I approve of the scientific quality of the document with its expressive statistics. However, I encourage the author to take into account suggestions for improving the scientific quality of the document	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ouattara amidou
Department, University & Country	University of san pedro, Cote d'ivoire