

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_128974
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF AGRICULTURE LAND USE PRACTICES ON SELECTED SOIL PROPERTIES AND MACRO-AGGREGATE STABILITY: A CASE STUDY OF COASTAL PLAIN SAND IN AKWA IBOM STATE, NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is okay, but a more detail implication and clear inferences of the authors findings need to be included.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Okay	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract need to be expanded a little to capture the major results (see comments in the manuscript)	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, but will require some amendments to make it technically sound.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	There are some few references that are old (e.g. 1974, 1975, 1976 etc.)	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Some sentences need recasting to bring out clearly the intended meaning.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

[Review Form 3](#)

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

<u>Name:</u>	<u>Ibraheem Alhassan</u>
<u>Department, University & Country</u>	<u>Federal University Gashua, Nigeria,</u>