

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_128846
Title of the Manuscript:	A randomised controlled trial on the effectiveness of melatonin in controlling blood loss at Ceaserean section in a tertiary centre in Southeast Nigeria.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Post partum haemorrhage especially during caesarean section is a leading cause of maternal morbidity and mortality. Even though no clinical significance has been seen in the study in the effect of melatonin in controlling haemorrhage during caesarean section it is able to demonstrate that using melatonin during caesarean section does not give us any added benefit when compared to patients not receiving the drug.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Kindly consider changing the title to A randomised controlled trial on the effectiveness of melatonin in controlling blood loss during Caesarean section in a tertiary centre in Southeast Nigeria. (at caesarean section is not grammatically correct)	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	yes	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nikhil Sebastian
Department, University & Country	Dream flower ivf centre, India