

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_128846
Title of the Manuscript:	A randomised controlled trial on the effectiveness of melatonin in controlling blood loss at Ceaserean section in a tertiary centre in Southeast Nigeria.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript explores the potential of melatonin as a complementary agent to oxytocin in reducing blood loss during elective caesarean sections, a critical issue in obstetrics. While the findings indicate that melatonin did not significantly reduce blood loss, the study adds valuable data to the ongoing discourse on adjunct therapies for postpartum hemorrhage. It also highlights the safety profile of melatonin, an area of interest for clinicians seeking safer alternatives to current pharmacological options. The research is particularly relevant for resource-limited settings, where affordable and accessible interventions are vital.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is clear and informative, but it could be more concise. Suggested alternative: "Efficacy of Melatonin in Reducing Blood Loss During Elective Caesarean Sections: A Randomized Controlled Trial."	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is generally comprehensive but could benefit from emphasizing the study's primary outcomes and clinical implications. For clarity, the statistical insignificance of the results should be highlighted earlier. Additionally, the mention of melatonin's safety profile and its potential as an adjunct therapy should be included to provide a more balanced summary.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	the lack of statistical significance in key findings warrants a discussion of the implications for future research, including the exploration of higher doses of melatonin or alternative adjunct therapies.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	a few are older or lack recent corroboration.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is generally clear and suitable for scholarly communication, but minor grammatical improvements and sentence restructuring could enhance readability.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hediye Dağdeviren
Department, University & Country	Istanbul Aydın University, Turkey