

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_129501
Title of the Manuscript:	Seaweed Plantlet Growth <i>Kappaphycus alvarezii</i> with different lengths of maintenance time
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Naskah ini memberikan kontribusi yang signifikan bagi komunitas ilmiah dengan memajukan pemahaman kita tentang praktik akuakultur berkelanjutan, khususnya dalam budidaya rumput laut. Studi ini memberikan wawasan tentang durasi pemeliharaan optimal untuk pertumbuhan rumput laut, yang dapat meningkatkan produktivitas dan kualitas dalam budidaya laut. Dengan menggunakan desain eksperimen yang ketat, penelitian ini juga menawarkan metodologi yang dapat direplikasi yang dapat diadaptasi untuk studi serupa di wilayah lain. Temuan ini sangat berharga untuk mempromosikan akuakultur yang ramah lingkungan, yang mendukung pembangunan ekonomi dan konservasi ekologi.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title needs to be added "seedlings from seaweed tissue culture"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is necessary to separate results and conclusions	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	It needs to be strengthened in the discussion and added growth graph data in line form, to see whether it is true that at a certain time the growth is optimal	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Need supporting references	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	A thorough review and editing process, possibly with the assistance of a language editor or professional proofreading service, is recommended to enhance the quality of English and align it with scholarly standards.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It is necessary to add a line graph in each treatment, and in the discussion it is necessary to link absolute and specific growth.	

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

<u>Name:</u>	Samsu Adi Rahman
<u>Department, University & Country</u>	Unuversity of Muhammadiyah Luwuk, Indonesia