

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_129501
Title of the Manuscript:	Seaweed Plantlet Growth <i>Kappaphycus alvarezii</i> with different lengths of maintenance time
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This article presents scientific work on how to cultivate <i>Kappaphycus alvarezii</i> seaweed, this is very important for the sustainability of seaweed growth research. This study provides a reliable method for measuring the growth of <i>Kappaphycus alvarezii</i> seaweed, thus increasing the wealth of research methods. The study's result indicates increasing the results of <i>Kappaphycus alvarezii</i> seaweed cultivation 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of this article is complete, but it needs to be added with what contribution the research results make to seaweed farmers.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The cited references are still new, but some scientific articles need to be equipped with website links or DOIs in order to be traced.	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The quality of English is still very low, it needs changes to comply with the rules for writing scientific articles.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

<u>Name:</u>	Mohammad Ridwan Lessy
<u>Department, University & Country</u>	Universitas Khairun, Indonesia