

## Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_125459
Title of the Manuscript:	A CHRONOLOGY OF NIGERIA'S AGRICULTURAL POLICIES AND THE RESULTING EFFECTS EVIDENCED IN DOCUMENTORIES
Type of the Article	Research Article

### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Authors collect the secondary data.</li> <li>2. Title slight to be change</li> </ol>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Evolution of Agricultural Policies in Nigeria: Lessons from Documentaries Or</li> <li>2. Nigeria's Agricultural Policy History: Documentary Evidence of Impact and Change</li> </ol>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Good	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4	Better to take data from 1960 to Present	

## Review Form 3

sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	MLA Format is better	
Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Average	
Optional/General comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	R S CH Murthy Chodisetty
Department, University & Country	Vardhaman College of Engineering, India