

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_125459
Title of the Manuscript:	A CHRONOLOGY OF NIGERIA'S AGRICULTURAL POLICIES AND THE RESULTING EFFECTS EVIDENCED IN DOCUMENTORIES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	NOT IN PARTICULAR FOR SCIENCE COMMUNITY. BUT, YES FOR TECHNOLOGY COMMUNITY. IT IS USEFUL FOR NIGERIA TO HAVE AN EFFECTIVE AGRICULTURAL POLICY, SO THAT THE AGRICULTURAL SECTOR CAN BE REGULATED WITH THE SPECIFIC PLANS AND SHOW IMPACT SIGNIFICANTLY	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	YES. NO SUGGESTIONS.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	YES	

Review Form 3

Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	THE MANUSCRIPT CAN ASSIST FOR PROVIDING DETAILS OF DIFFERENT AGRICULTURAL SCHEMES, ACHIEVEMENTS AND IMPACTS OF THEIR AGRICULTURAL POLICIES ON NIGERIA.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	YES	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES	
Optional/General comments	OVERALL RESEARCH PAPER SOUNDS LIKE HIGHLIGHTING KEY POLICIES FOR THE GROWTH OF AGRICULTURAL SECTOR IN NIGERIA, SHOWING MORE IMPACTFUL ACHIEVEMENT.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anjali Trivedi
Department, University & Country	GLS University, Ahmedabad, India