

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_128299
Title of the Manuscript:	Mustard prosperity: Assessing economic realities among KVK beneficiary and non-beneficiary farmers in Samba district of Jammu division
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article is useful to know the impact of KVK in farm level. It helps a reader how much KVK beneficiary farmers were getting higher profit than non-beneficiaries.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Authors can change the title as per the suggestion	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract should objectives and recommended polices with its future scope. These are missing. Try to make it more comprehensie one.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Check the referencing style again with the mentioned referencing style of the journal. Add doi with the references where it is available. Add references between 2020-2024	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This article can be accepted with some minor revisions as per the review form as well as mentioned in the text.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sourakanti Sarkar
Department, University & Country	Seacom Skills University, India