

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_128299
Title of the Manuscript:	Mustard prosperity: Assessing economic realities among KVK beneficiary and non-beneficiary farmers in Samba district of Jammu division
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is a new research in the study area. The research findings will have more influence on resource poor farmers and all those that not believe on improved technologies/techniques, in the future all needed ones will follow the research findings. However the findings is really a significant contribution to the scientific knowledge.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	good	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	To some extent it is well defined, And I suggest to add some recommendations and conclude sentence,	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Okay	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	I just suggest some to in result sections as supporting literature.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I strongly suggest to review it by an English language expert.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdul Hadi Wasil
Department, University & Country	Afghanistan National Agricultural Sciences and Technology University-ANASTU, Afghanistan