

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJ SSE_123069
Title of the Manuscript:	THE ROLE OF SHARIA ECONOMICS IN REALIZING SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT BASED ON GREEN ECONOMY
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is important for the scientific community as it explores the intersection of Sharia economics, renewable energy, and sustainable development goals (SDGs), focusing on a practical issue like carbon dioxide emissions. By analyzing the roles of hydroelectricity and biofuel in reducing emissions, the study provides valuable insights into how Sharia-compliant economic practices can contribute to environmental sustainability, especially in the context of Indonesia. However, I find the manuscript's conclusion—that hydroelectricity and biofuel contribute only 1% to carbon dioxide emissions reduction—a bit disappointing, as it raises questions about the effectiveness of these renewable energy sources within this framework. Nonetheless, the study adds to the growing body of research on the green economy, highlighting the need for further exploration of more impactful strategies and technologies to align with both economic and environmental objectives.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>YES</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract is not fully comprehensive. It lacks a clear explanation of the significance of Sharia economics, the specific SDGs targeted, and the implications of the findings. Adding context on why Sharia principles are relevant to green economy practices and their potential impact on sustainability would improve its comprehensiveness.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>YES</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript appears to be scientifically robust and technically sound, as it employs a quantitative approach to investigate the impact of renewable energy sources on carbon dioxide emissions within the framework of Sharia economics. By utilizing statistical tests like the t-test and F-test, the study provides empirical evidence to support its findings, ensuring that the conclusions are data-driven. Additionally, the use of secondary data sources enhances the reliability of the results. The integration of Sharia economics into the study provides a novel perspective, contributing to the existing literature on sustainable development and green economy practices.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>The references are not sufficient. It must include the current studies from the recent years.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>YES</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saloni Raheja
Department, University & Country	Lovely Professional University, India