

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JPRI_123038</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Patient Perceptions of Pharmacist-Provided Medication Therapy Management (MTM) on Hypertension</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study on patients' perceptions of pharmacist-provided medication therapy on hypertension is relevant to scientific research. Assessing patients' perceptions lead to a more complete understanding of their decision predictors on hypertensive care, as well as a better strategy for treating their health concerns. It will also improve their medication adherence and health outcomes.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable, though "Knowledge and perception of pharmacist-provided therapy on hypertension" is better suited for it.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The method section of the abstract should contain the number of participants as well as the type of survey instrument used. The statement, "The p-value was ascertained by using either the Chi-square test or Fisher's exact test for data analysis," should be definite.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No. The methodology should be categorised into sub sections for clarity i.e study Design, study population, Survey instrument and administration, and statistical analysis. The sections should also be structured in-line with the guideline.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research is an aspect of behavioral sciences. It ascertains patients behavioral patterns and uses it to influence patients' outcomes. Understanding the factors that influence the choice of patient therapy will give a better insight into improving health outcomes globally.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The reference is sufficient and recent however, it did not follow the reference style of the journal.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Minor revisions are needed to improve the quality of the language	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ugboma Evaristus Jideofor</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Nigeria</b>