

Review Form 1.8

Journal Name:	Journal of Cancer and Tumor International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_120137
Title of the Manuscript:	Cytological Screening of Inflammatory Cells in Breast Fluid among Lactating Women in Shendi Town, Sudan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljcti.com/index.php/JCTI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i>	Yes, Early screening of Breast milk will aid in screening mothers in the early period regarding inflammatory cells. The findings give insights and create awareness to all healthcare professionals.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, its includes all mesh terms used in the study	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive	Yes, But a few areas need clarity, they are, 1. In the Abstract background – The sentence can be refined to give a little more clarity to the viewers. For ex- a. Acute mastitis usually occurs during the first three months postpartum as a result of breastfeeding - Not for all mothers, the mothers who have feeding problems either from mother or infant concern only the mastitis get persist in this period. . While some of these changes – what changes – to be refined. 2. In the Results – No data was found in the correlation and association part of the view. 3. In key words - instead of women, Sudan can include the pap stain	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, it is appropriate, need to include these details too .. In methodology 1. How the samples are included – inclusion /Exclusion criteria and sampling technique Followed? 2. Whether both groups are homogenous samples ? 3. Any drugs induced for the lactation process? 4. In post postpartum period, specify in days/weeks data were collected. 5. What type of milk is collected - Fore/hindmilk? How much amount collected? In data analysis - Nowhere found the chi-square values,	

Review Form 1.8

	<p>In results - Write the demographic and background of the samples. Need each tables description below the table and separate discussion part to be included.</p> <p>In the discussion - need to include all the recent findings within 5-year study findings.</p> <p>In conclusion - Start with present study salient findings and continue with the general view</p>	
<p>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? (Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</p>	<p>Yes, this study appropriately followed methodology and analysis only needs clarify and as per suggestions try to include the points in the appropriate part, surely it robust the knowledge of professionals.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p>	<p>In No 8 and 14-17 need to refine in appropriate citation style as per guidelines. if possible, include 80% from 5 years of the study.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Language is good. But need to refine in abstract and discussion part.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Is any funding agency involved? If possible, include study strength, weakness, limitation, and recommendations.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rajathi Sakthivel
Department, University & Country	The Tamilnadu Dr MGR Medical University, India