

Review Form 1.8

Journal Name:	Journal of Cancer and Tumor International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_120137
Title of the Manuscript:	Cytological Screening of Inflammatory Cells in Breast Fluid among Lactating Women in Shendi Town, Sudan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljcti.com/index.php/JCTI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.8

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)	Yes	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	It should be specific in 150-200 words. Exclude <i>Background, Aim, Methods, results conclusion headings in abstract. Write one or two paragraphs.</i>	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure of manuscript not appropriate, the literature review section is missing, Discussion section seems like literature review it should be specific.	
Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? (Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)	No, research methodology diagram is missing also labs investigation reports are missing to justify results, Statistical Packages for Social Sciences (SPSS) computer program should be included in the paper.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	The references from 2018 to 2023 are missing, Latest literature must be reviewed to justify study.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It should be improved	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pravin Uttamrao Malve
Department, University & Country	India