

## Review Form 1.8

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMCS_119853</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	
Type of the Article	<b>Research Work</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamcs.com/index.php/JAMCS/editorial-policy> )

[Review Form 1.8](#)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i>	Yes, it's important to the scientific community, The Researchers carefully draft manuscripts allowing them to share their original ideas and new discoveries with the scientific community as well as to the general population. A significant amount of time and effort is spent during the investigative stages conducting the required research before it is released into the public domain. Therefore, the manuscript drafted to present this research is thorough, logically presented, and factual. It adheres to a specific language and format to communicate the results to the scientific community whilst adhering to ethical guidelines.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The significance of a title cannot be overstated in that it introduces your reader to the subject matter you intend to discuss. <b>The Title is Suitable</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>	Abstracts are abbreviated versions of your manuscript. Contained within the abstract's structure should be the major premise of your research and the questions you seek to answer. Also included in the context of the abstract is a brief summary of the methods taken to achieve your goals along with a short version of the results. The abstract may be the only part of the paper read, therefore, it should be considered a concise version of your complete manuscript. So the abstract should be summarized	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	<b>Very appropriate</b>	
<b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i>	Background material is supplied indicating why the research performed is important along with the direction the research took	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>	<b>We need more references</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Excellent	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The complexity of the research and the results generated is clear and concise, follows the current journal formats and is verifiable	

**Review Form 1.8**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Raphael Ehikuemhen Asibor</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Igbinedion University, Nigeria</b>