

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_123355
Title of the Manuscript:	ANALYSIS OF THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PUBLIC DEBT SERVICE AND EDUCATION EXPENDITURE: A POOLED MEAN GROUP APPROACH
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Importance of this manuscript is very much essential in order to know the government/public expenditure with regards to education in the country, but where is that transparency from the government of India or any state's government. If you can bring that picture into your paper that would be need for the hour.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Evaluating the relationship between public debt and education expenditure: A pooled mean group approach	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Mention the factors influencing education expenditure considering the facts of public debt Abstract shall be expanded to another 6 lines.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I strongly believe that they have used robust techniques and methods. I thing pooled mean group is one of the popular statistical tools used for explorative research and that have done beautifully. Even the samples size is also strong enough to prove the results.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Budget of the countries shall be used for references. Than public debt bills education expenditure bills of the central government shall also be used.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Absolutely appropriate (for your next research work keep it simpler)	
Optional/General comments	Here reviewer should declare his/her competing interest. If nothing to declare he/she can write "I declare that I have no competing interest as a reviewer" Maybe. Because I have seen similar work and can be done using libraries. "I declare that I have no competing interest as a reviewer"	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shankar Guddad
Department, University & Country	M S Ramaiah College of Arts Science and Commerce, India