

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_123355
Title of the Manuscript:	ANALYSIS OF THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PUBLIC DEBT SERVICE AND EDUCATION EXPENDITURE: A POOLED MEAN GROUP APPROACH
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study is timely and remains a contemporary issue in developing countries. The manuscript communicates that importance of enhancing public investment in education. It went further to show why South America and Africa is struggling in education, highlighting the menace of debt service. It opined that debt servicing crowds out domestic investment in Africa and South America which is the current reality.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract should be improved by clearly stating the geographical coverage of the study.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The methodology applied is correct regarding the selection of multiple countries to form a panel. The countries selected are largely facing similar debt crisis and poor educational sector. By applying the PMG through ARDL estimation also brings to the fore the dynamic effects of debt servicing on education investment.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	The references are recent even though there few of them that need to discarded.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Please correct grammatical errors	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kingsley Onyekachi Onyele
Department, University & Country	Rhema University, Nigeria