

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_121567
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative studies on effect of conventional practices on soil quality parameters and carbon stock in common land uses in Namthang block, South Sikkim
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it addresses the impact of conventional agricultural practices on soil quality parameters and carbon stock in different land use systems. The findings contribute to understanding how conventional practices affect soil health and carbon sequestration, which are critical for sustainable agriculture and climate change mitigation.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title is suitable and accurately reflects the content of the manuscript. It clearly indicates the comparative study of conventional practices on soil quality parameters and carbon stock in Namthang block, South Sikkim.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract is comprehensive, summarizing the objectives, methodology, key results, and conclusions effectively. It provides a clear overview of the research and its significance.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. The manuscript is well-organized with clear sections on the introduction, materials and methods, results, discussion, and conclusion.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. The research is based on a well-structured experimental design, comprehensive data collection, and appropriate statistical analysis. The results are clearly presented, and the interpretations are logically aligned with the data. The study follows established methodologies for soil analysis, enhancing the reliability of the findings.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>The references are sufficient and recent, providing a strong foundation for the study. They demonstrate a comprehensive understanding of the current research landscape in soil science, particularly regarding soil quality and carbon stock in different land use systems.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The manuscript is written in clear and concise English, suitable for scholarly communication. Minor grammatical corrections and improvements in sentence structure could enhance readability.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript is well-structured and provides valuable insights into the impact of conventional agricultural practices on soil quality and carbon stock. With minor revisions, it will be a significant contribution to the field of soil science and sustainable land management.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Johana Delgado
Department, University & Country	Venezuela