

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_122928
Title of the Manuscript:	Improvement in Seed Germination through Pre-sowing Treatments in <i>Cinnamomum glaucescens</i> (Nees) Hand.-Mazz., A Native Tree of Eastern Himalaya, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<i>Cinnamomum glaucescens</i> is highly important plant which is being used traditionally for multi-purpose though, its propagation via sexual method (seed) is facing numerous hinders e.g. Poor germination, low seedling survival rate and lack of ideal propagation techniques at nursery stage. The current study was aimed to evaluate the standard practice (pre-sowing treatments) for ideal germination of the neez seeds. Thus, the findings of the study are highly useful for quality nursery raising of <i>Cinnamomum glaucescens</i> . Moreover, it will help the academia, research and nursery to adopt the ideal pre-sowing treatment for quality germination and good quality nursery production.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the study is somehow complicated and unclear. It can be revised as Assessing the germination response of <i>Cinnamomum glaucescens</i> to various pre-sowing treatments.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is too short please incorporate few more lines to justify the aim, objectives and methodology of the study	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Material and method should be revised and preparation procedure of the treatments must be mentioned. Interpretation of the results is complicated please separately interpret each parameter	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript contain the recent study which is very crucial for ideal seedlings production it meets the standard of the journal. The result/ graphical presentation are portraying the study technical sound. After the following revision the manuscript is acceptable of the publication <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The introduction is lacking with medicinal, industrial importance of the <i>Cinnamomum glaucescens</i> . 2. Consistency in the literature is inappropriate which is making inconvenience while reading. 3. Divide the section material and methods into following headings Experimental site, Experimental design, seed source and treatments 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent, thoroughly supporting the study	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments	Whole manuscript may revise for language improvement prior to final submission	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Non	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muzamil Farooque Jamali
Department, University & Country	Sindh Agriculture University, Pakistan