

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_122699
Title of the Manuscript:	Trends In Exports and Direction of Trade of Kokum Black from India.
Type of the Article	Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The article's statistical analysis are adequate. 2. Kokum cultivation needs to be prioritized to bring in money and jobs for the neighborhood. 3. To increase the production of kokum, new policies had to be implemented. This type of research article should be published more frequently, which helps government to formulate policies. 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes. No need to change.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Abstract depicting the article comprehensively. 2. Author should not mention the numerical values, he already mentioned values in the conclusion section. 3. Author should not copy and paste any website address in the abstract section. 	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes. Matching with the journal requirement.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. More reviews to be included. 2. rewriting of the abstract. 3. rewriting of the article just to improve the English. 4. Analytical methods used are absolutely fine. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No. Introduction and conclusion section should have more recent reviews. Every statement made by the author should be backed by the references.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Suggesting rewriting the article. English needs to be improved.	
Optional/General comments	No	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Subhadip Pal
Department, University & Country	The Neotia University, India